

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/772,498	WOLF ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Harry Vartanian	2634

All Participants:

Status of Application: Pending

(1) Harry Vartanian.

(3) _____.

(2) Jay M. Cantor (#19,906).

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 5 January 2004

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

Telephonic
 Video Conference
 Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

1, 4, 8, 11, and 13

Claims discussed:

1, 4, 8, 11, and 13

Prior art documents discussed:

USPAT 5,761,248


Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Potential provisional double patenting issue with application 09772676. Amending claims to overcome U.S.C. 112, 2nd and prior art.

Part III.

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.



(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)